



REVIEW – IMPORTANT POINTS TO REMEMBER

MEC 557 – FINITE ELEMENT METHOD IN SOLID MECHANICS



WHAT IS THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD?

RALEIGH-RITZ NUMERICAL SOLUTION TECHNIQUE IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS:

- IDEA STARTED WITH VIBRATION THEORY: FOR CONTINUUM PROBLEMS WITH AN ENERGY, USE SHAPE FUNCTIONS TO CONVERT INFINITE DIMENSIONAL PROBLEM TO A DISCRETE ONE THAT CAN BE SOLVED WITH MATRIX ALGEBRA (1909)
- BY ABOUT 1970'S PEOPLE REALIZED THAT THE APPROXIMATE ENGINEERING F.E.M. TECHNIQUE WAS A RALEIGH-RITZ METHOD WITH INGENIOUS SHAPE FUNCTIONS OF COMPACT SUPPORT

THE REST IS THE HISTORY OF ONE OF THE GREATEST CONTRIBUTIONS OF MECHANICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS TO MODERN EGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

- APPROACH THAT STARTED WITH LINEAR ELASTICITY WAS EXTENDED TO THE MOST GENERAL TYPE OF NONLINEAR, INELASTIC SOLIDS & STRUCTURES
- METHOD IS APPLICABLE TO A WIDE CLASS OF BOUNDARY PROBLEMS BUT IS BEST SUITED FOR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS
- FINITE ELEMENTS TECHNOLOGY IS ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTIONS OF MECHANICS THAT REVOLUTIONIZED ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY





- 1. INTRODUCTION TO THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD USING 1-D MODELS.
- 2. CHOLESKY METHOD FOR SOLVING LINEAR SYSTEMS.
- 3. TRUSSES AND FRAMES IN 2D AND 3D.
- 4. ASSEMBLY OF STIFFNESS MATRIX & FORCE VECTOR, CONNECTIVITY
- 5. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION FOR LINEAR ELASTICITY B.V.P.
- 6. PLANE STRESS/STRAIN PROBLEMS USING CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLES.
- 7. ISOPARAMETRIC ELEMENTS FOR 2D PROBLEMS.
- 8. NUMERICAL INTEGRATION, GENERALIZATION TO 3D PROBLEMS.
- 9. HIGHER ORDER GRADIENT ENERGIES: BEAMS (1D) AND PLATES (2D).

10. LOCKING PHENOMENA DUE TO CONSTRAINTS.

- 11. TIME-DEPENDENT ANALYSES, EIGENMODES.
- 12. NON-LINEAR PROBLEMS INCREMENTAL NEWTON-RAPHSON.
- 13. NON-LINEAR BEAMS (1D) & FINITE STRAIN ELASTICITY (2D).
- 14. NOTIONS OF FRACTURE IN 2D (CRACK-TIP SINGULARITIES)





SIMPLEST CASE: 1D ELASTIC BAR EXAMPLE TO ILLUSTRATE ENERGY, RALEIGH-RITZ & FEM





STARTING POINT FOR FEM: use potential energy minimization (variational method) for the case of a non-disspative mechanics problem – all problems in elasticity (linear or nonlinear fall in this category)

Potential : $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext}$

Internal :
$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_V \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x) \ \epsilon(x) \ dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L E \ A(x) \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 dx$$

External :
$$\mathcal{P}_{ext} = -\int_V \rho g u(x) dV = -\int_0^L \rho g A(x) u(x) dx$$

CLAIM: of all admissible displacement fields u(x), i.e. continuous functions that satisfy the essential boundary condition: u(0) = 0, the actual equilibrium solution minimizes the potential energy functional $\mathcal{P}(u(x))$





VARIATIONAL FORMULATION: We must minimize potential energy functional $\mathcal{P}(u(x))$, to find equilibrium $u_{eq}(x)$

 $\mathcal{P}(u_{eq} + \epsilon \delta u) \ge \mathcal{P}(u_{eq}); \quad u(x) \equiv u_{eq}(x) + \epsilon \delta u(x), \ \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left[\mathcal{P}(u_{eq} + \epsilon \delta u) \right]_{\epsilon=0} = 0 ; \quad \text{extremum (1)}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2} \left[\mathcal{P}(u_{eq} + \epsilon \delta u) \right]_{\epsilon=0} > 0 ; \qquad \text{minimum (2)}$$

Raleigh-Ritz method: instead of minimizing energy in an infinite dimensional space, we minimize in a finite dimensional space. We use an approximate displacement $u^{app}(x)$ – which involves a finite number of variables Q_i (i=1, ..., n) – and minimize $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Q})$ with respect to \mathbf{Q} . $\mathcal{P}(u^{app}(x)) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Q}); \quad u^{app} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Q_i N_i(x), \quad \mathbf{Q} \equiv [Q_1, Q_2, \cdots, Q_n]$





$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial Q_i} = \int_0^L \left[EA \frac{du^{app}}{dx} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_i} \left(\frac{du^{app}}{dx} \right) - \rho gA \frac{\partial u^{app}}{\partial Q_i} \right] dx = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \left\{ \int_0^L \left[EA \frac{dN_i}{dx} \frac{dN_j}{dx} \right] dx \right\} Q_j - \int_0^L \left[\rho g A N_i \right] dx = 0$$

 $\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} K_{ij}Q_j - F_i = 0 ; \quad \text{(in compact form : } \mathbf{KQ} = \mathbf{F}\text{)}$

$$K_{ij} \equiv \int_0^L \left[EA \frac{dN_i}{dx} \frac{dN_j}{dx} \right] dx , F_i \equiv \int_0^L \left[\rho g A N_i \right] dx$$

Stiffness matrix: K, Force vector: F, Degrees of Freedom: Q

ONE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE – FINITE ELEMENT METHOD





Easy physical interpretation of d.o.f. (degree of freedom) Q_i at node x_i : due to its construction, $u^{app}(x_i) = Q_i$

Shape functions $N_i(x)$ have compact support: $N_i(x_i) = 1$, $N_i(x_{i-1}) = N_i(x_{i+1}) = 0$. Compactness of support of shape function great advantage of FEM **ONE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE – SPARSE STIFFNESS MATRIX**





$$K_{ii} = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} E A(x) \left(\frac{1}{l_e}\right)^2 dx$$

$$K_{ii+1} = -\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} E A(x) \left(\frac{1}{l_e}\right)^2 dx$$

Stiffness matrix **K** is banded, i.e. populated about the diagonal. This structure, due to the compactness of shape functions, has great advantages in both solution time and storage requirements. For reasonable systems, we use Cholesky (André-Louis Cholesky X-1895) decomposition, for very large systems, iterative methods that take advantage of the sparse structure of **K**.

ONE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE – ELEMENT STIFFNESS, FORCE





In element i: $u^{app}(x) = q_1 N_1(x) + q_2 N_2(x)$

Local degree of freedom $\mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} = [q_{1}, q_{2}]$

We find element contribution to global stiffness matrix **K** and force vector **F**



ONE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE – ELEMENT STIFFNESS, FORCE

 $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathcal{P}_{int}(\mathbf{Q}) + \mathcal{P}_{ext}(\mathbf{Q})$

$$\mathcal{P}_{int}(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{e} \mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} ; \quad \mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} = \int_{l_e} \left[\frac{1}{2} E A(x) \left(q_1 \frac{dN_1}{dx} + q_2 \frac{dN_2}{dx} \right)^2 \right] dx = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_e^T \mathbf{k}_e \mathbf{q}_e$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{ext}(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{e} \mathcal{P}_{ext}^{e}; \quad \mathcal{P}_{ext}^{e} = -\int_{l_e} \left[\rho g \ A(x) \left(q_1 N_1(x) + q_2 N_2(x)\right)\right] dx = -\mathbf{q}_e^T \mathbf{f}_e$$

$$[\mathbf{k}_e]_{ij} \equiv \int_{l_e} \left[E \ A(x) \left(\frac{dN_i}{dx} \right) \left(\frac{dN_j}{dx} \right) \right] dx ;$$

 \mathbf{k}_e element stiffness matrix

$$[\mathbf{f}_e]_i \equiv -\int_{l_e} \left[\rho g \ A(x) N_i(x)\right] dx ;$$

 \mathbf{f}_e element force vector

Finding element stiffness matrix \mathbf{k}_{e} and element force vector \mathbf{f}_{e} in the structure

ONE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE – ASSEMBLE STIFFNESS, FORCE



Assembling global stiffness matrix **K** and global force vector **F** from element stiffness matrix \mathbf{k}_{e} and element force vector \mathbf{f}_{e}

RULE: for each element *e* add to global stiffness matrix & force vector the components in the appropriate places recalling local to global numbering

 $1 \rightarrow i$, $2 \rightarrow j$ for this 2-node element





SIMPLEST CASE: 1D ELASTIC BAR EXAMPLE TO ILLUSTRATE ISOPARAMETRIC MASTER ELEMENT





It is very convenient to write shape functions in a master element with respect to a normalized coordinate (ξ)

$$i = 1$$

 \bullet
 $\xi = 0$
 $i = 2$
 $m = 2;$ $N_1(\xi) = 1 - \xi$
 $N_2(\xi) = \xi$

$$i = 1 \qquad i = 2 \qquad i = 3 \qquad m = 3; \quad N_1(\xi) = \xi(\xi - 1)/2$$

$$\xi = -1 \qquad \xi = 0 \qquad \xi = 1 \qquad N_2(\xi) = (1 - \xi)(\xi + 1)/2$$

$$N_3(\xi) = \xi(\xi + 1)/2$$

$$[\mathbf{k}_{e}]_{ij} \equiv \int_{\xi} \left[E \ A(x(\xi)) \left(dN_{i}/d\xi \right) \left(dN_{j}/d\xi \right) \left(dx/d\xi \right)^{-1} \right] d\xi$$
$$[\mathbf{f}_{e}]_{i} \equiv -\int_{\xi} \left[\rho g \ A(x(\xi)) N_{i}(\xi) \left(dx/d\xi \right)^{-1} \right] d\xi$$





Question: what do we choose for $x(\xi)$?

Answer: (easy) same representation as for displacement!

This type of parametrization that uses the same interpolation scheme for both the displacement and the geometric coordinates is called isoparametric representation and is widely used in F.E.M.

$$u(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} u_i N_i(\xi)$$
, $u(\xi_i) = u_i$; d.o.f. at node i

$$x(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} x_i N_i(\xi)$$
, $x(\xi_i) = x_i$; coordinate at node i





NEXT CASE: 2D ELASTICITY TO ILLUSTRATE THE FEM METHOD IN HIGHER DIMENSION PROBLEMS







Energy density: $W(\varepsilon)$

Stress-strain: $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}}$

(general nonlinear elastic material)

Solid occupies domain: V

Domain boundary: ∂V

Body forces: **b**

Surface traction: t

Surface normal (outward): n

Traction prescribed on: ∂V_t

Displacement prescribed on: ∂V_u

Position vector: **x**



-

-0



Potential :
$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext}$$

Internal :
$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{V} W(\epsilon_{ij}) \, dV ; \quad \sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}}$$

External :
$$\mathcal{P}_{ext} = -\int_{V} b_{i} u_{i} \, dV - \int_{\partial V_{t}} t_{i} u_{i} \, dS$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \delta \mathbf{u}) \ge \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}) ; \qquad \delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \ \forall \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial V_u \ , \ \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left[\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \delta \mathbf{u}) \right]_{\epsilon=0} = 0 ; \quad \text{extremum (1)}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2} \left[\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u} + \epsilon \delta \mathbf{u}) \right]_{\epsilon=0} > 0 ; \qquad \text{minimum (2)}$$





Linearized kinematics :
$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Boundary traction : $t_j = n_i \sigma_{ij}$; (Cauchy tetrahedron)

Linear elasticity : $\sigma_{ij} = L_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}$

Major symmetry : $L_{ijkl} = L_{klij}$; (due to energy existence)

Minor symmetries : $L_{ijkl} = L_{jikl} = L_{ijlk}$; $(\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}, \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ji})$

Energy density :
$$W = \int_0^{\epsilon} \sigma_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} d\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} L_{ijkl} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl}$$

Linearized strain: ε_{ij} Cauchy stress: σ_{ij} Elastic moduli tensor: L_{ijkl}





SIMPLEST 2D ELEMENT: CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLE







Element d.o.f. $\mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} = [U_{1}^{1}, U_{2}^{1}, U_{1}^{2}, U_{2}^{2}, U_{1}^{3}, U_{2}^{3}]$





$$u_i(x_1, x_2) = N_1(x_1, x_2) U_i^1 + N_2(x_1, x_2) U_i^2 + N_3(x_1, x_2) U_i^3$$

$$u_i(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{I=1}^3 N_I(x_1, x_2) U_i^I$$

displacement interpolation

 $u_i(x_1^I, x_2^I) = U_i^I$, nodal value requirement

$$N_1(x_1^1, x_2^1) = 1$$
, $N_1(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0$, $N_1(x_1^3, x_2^3) = 0$

$$N_2(x_1^1, x_2^1) = 0$$
, $N_2(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 1$, $N_2(x_1^3, x_2^3) = 0$

$$N_3(x_1^1, x_2^1) = 0$$
, $N_3(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0$, $N_3(x_1^3, x_2^3) = 1$





Shape functions $N_I(x_1, x_2)$ are bilinear in terms of coordinates

(3 constants found from the 3 nodal conditions – example N_I

$$N_1(x_1, x_2) = ax_1 + bx_2 + c$$

$$\begin{cases} 1 = ax_1^1 + bx_2^1 + c \\ 0 = ax_1^2 + bx_2^2 + c \\ 0 = ax_1^3 + bx_2^3 + c \end{cases}$$







The three bilinear shape functions $N_I(x_1, x_2)$; (I=1, 2, 3)

$$N_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[x_1^2 x_2^3 - x_1^3 x_2^2 + \left(x_2^2 - x_2^3 \right) x_1 - \left(x_1^2 - x_1^3 \right) x_2 \right]$$

$$N_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[x_1^3 x_2^1 - x_1^1 x_2^3 + \left(x_2^3 - x_2^1 \right) x_1 - \left(x_1^3 - x_1^1 \right) x_2 \right]$$

 $N_3(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[x_1^1 x_2^2 - x_1^2 x_2^1 + \left(x_2^1 - x_2^2 \right) x_1 - \left(x_1^1 - x_1^2 \right) x_2 \right]$





Displacement discretization is conveniently written in matrix form: $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{q}_e$



Kinematic discretization is also written in matrix form: $\mathbf{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{q}_e$





Kinematics discretization is conveniently written in matrix form: $\mathbf{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{q}_e$

$$\mathbf{B} \equiv \frac{1}{2A} \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 - x_2^3 & 0 & x_2^3 - x_2^1 & 0 & x_2^1 - x_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -(x_1^2 - x_1^3) & 0 & -(x_1^3 - x_1^1) & 0 & -(x_1^1 - x_1^2) \\ -(x_1^2 - x_1^3) & x_2^2 - x_2^3 & -(x_1^3 - x_1^1) & x_2^3 - x_2^1 & -(x_1^1 - x_1^2) & x_2^1 - x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

NOTE: B matrix is constant (constant strain triangle!)

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T} = [\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{22}, \gamma_{12}]; \text{ where } \gamma_{12} = 2 \varepsilon_{12}$$

Recall:
$$\mathbf{q}_e^T = [U_1^1, U_2^1, U_1^2, U_2^2, U_1^3, U_2^3]$$





Constitutive equation also written in matrix form: $\sigma = L\epsilon$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11}$$





$$\mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} = \int_{A} \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x_{1}, x_{2})] \, dA = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \int_{A} [\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{L}(x_{1}, x_{2})\mathbf{B}] \, dA \Big] \mathbf{q}_{e}$$

 $= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_e^T \mathbf{k}_e \mathbf{q}_e \qquad \text{Element stiffness matrix: } \mathbf{k}_e$

$$-\mathcal{P}_{ext}^{e} = \int_{A} [\mathbf{u}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2})\mathbf{b}(x_{1}, x_{2})] \, dA + \int_{\partial A} [\mathbf{u}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2})\mathbf{t}(x_{1}, x_{2})] \, dl$$
$$= \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \left[\int_{A} [\mathbf{N}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mathbf{b}(x_{1}, x_{2})] \, dA + \int_{\partial A} [\mathbf{N}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mathbf{t}(x_{1}, x_{2})] \, dl \right]$$

 $= \mathbf{q}_e^T \mathbf{f}_e$ Element force vector: \mathbf{f}_e





Element stiffness matrix: \mathbf{k}_{e} for constant moduli \mathbf{L} $\mathbf{k}_{e} = \int_{A} \left[\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{B} \right] dA$

 $\mathbf{k}_e = A \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{B}$

Element force vector: \mathbf{f}_{e} for constant body forces \mathbf{b} & traction \mathbf{t}

$$\mathbf{f}_{e} = \int_{A} [\mathbf{N}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mathbf{b}] \, dA + \int_{\partial A} [\mathbf{N}^{T}(x_{1}(l), x_{2}(l)) \mathbf{t}] \, dl$$
$$\mathbf{f}_{e}^{T} = \left[\frac{b_{1}}{3} + \frac{t_{1}}{2}, \frac{b_{2}}{3} + \frac{t_{2}}{2}, \frac{b_{1}}{3} + \frac{t_{1}}{2}, \frac{b_{2}}{3} + \frac{t_{2}}{2}, \frac{b_{1}}{3}, \frac{b_{2}}{3}\right]$$

NOTE: element has traction applied on the side defined by nodes 1 & 2





ISOPARAMETRIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR 2D FEM

FEM IN 2D: ISOPARAMETRIC CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLES



$$u_{j}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = \sum_{I=1}^{3} N_{I}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) U_{j}^{I}$$
Parameters: ξ_{I},ξ_{2}

$$u_{j}(\xi_{1}^{I},\xi_{2}^{I}) = U_{j}^{I}$$

$$x_{j}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = \sum_{I=1}^{3} N_{I}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) x_{j}^{I}$$

$$x_{j}(\xi_{1}^{I},\xi_{2}^{I}) = x_{j}^{I}$$

$$(\xi_{1}^{J},\xi_{2}^{J}) = (0,0)$$

$$(\xi_{1}^{J},\xi_{2}^{J}) = (1,0)$$

FEM IN 2D: ISOPARAMETRIC CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLES

Master element shape functions $N_I(x_1, x_2)$ are found to be:

$$N_1(\xi_1^1,\xi_2^1) = 1, \quad N_1(\xi_1^2,\xi_2^2) = 0, \quad N_1(\xi_1^3,\xi_2^3) = 0$$

$$\implies N_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1$$

$$N_2(\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1) = 0, \quad N_2(\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2) = 1, \quad N_2(\xi_1^3, \xi_2^3) = 0$$

$$\implies N_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_2$$

$$N_3(\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1) = 0, \quad N_3(\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2) = 0, \quad N_3(\xi_1^3, \xi_2^3) = 1$$

 $N_3(\xi_1,\xi_2) = 1 - \xi_1 - \xi_2$

 \Longrightarrow



For strains we need the transformation (Hessian) matrix J and its inverse J^{-1}

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \xi_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \xi_2} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \xi_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} , \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 - x_1^3 & x_2^1 - x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 - x_1^3 & x_2^2 - x_2^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \xi_2} \end{bmatrix} , \quad \text{recall} : x_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{I=1}^3 N_I(\xi_1, \xi_2) x_j^I$$

FEM IN 2D: ISOPARAMETRIC CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLES





FEM IN 2D: ISOPARAMETRIC CONSTANT STRAIN TRIANGLES



Definition of matrix G





LMS

Finding element stiffness using master element

$$\mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} = \int_{A} \frac{1}{2} [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x_{1}, x_{2})] dA$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \int_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} [\mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{L}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{G}(\det \mathbf{J})] d\boldsymbol{\xi}] \mathbf{q}_{e}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \mathbf{k}_{e} \mathbf{q}_{e}$$




ISOPARAMETRIC QUADS & HIGHER ORDER 2D ELEMENTS







$$u_j(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \sum_{I=1}^4 N_I(\xi_1,\xi_2) U_j^I \qquad N_I(\xi_1^J,\xi_2^J) = \delta_{IJ}; \ (I,J=1,\dots4)$$







$$N_I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \xi_1^I \xi_1) (1 + \xi_2^I \xi_2)$$

$$\mathbf{J} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_i}\right] = \left[\sum_{I=1}^4 \frac{\partial N_I}{\partial \xi_i} (\xi_1, \xi_2) x_j^I\right]$$

Shape functions $N_I(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and coordinate transformation matrix **J** for 4-node isoparametric quads

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{4} \xi_{1}^{I} (1 + \xi_{2}^{I} \xi_{2}) x_{1}^{I} & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{4} \xi_{1}^{I} (1 + \xi_{2}^{I} \xi_{2}) x_{2}^{I} \\ \\ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{4} \xi_{2}^{I} (1 + \xi_{1}^{I} \xi_{1}) x_{1}^{I} & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{4} \xi_{2}^{I} (1 + \xi_{1}^{I} \xi_{1}) x_{2}^{I} \end{bmatrix}$$



٦



$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \xi_2} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \xi_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall definition of matrix $\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\det \mathbf{J}} \begin{bmatrix} J_{22} & -J_{12}\mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -J_{21} & J_{11} \\ -J_{21} & J_{11} & J_{22} & -J_{12} \end{bmatrix}$











$$\mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} = \int_{A_{e}} \frac{1}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \right] dA = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \int_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \left[\underbrace{\mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{G}}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right] \underbrace{\det(\mathbf{J}) d\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\boldsymbol{dA}} \right] \mathbf{q}_{e}$$

$$1 \quad T_{e}$$

 $= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \mathbf{k}_{e} \mathbf{q}_{e} \qquad \text{Element stiffness matrix: } \mathbf{k}_{e}$

$$-\mathcal{P}_{ext}^{e} = \int_{A_{e}} [\mathbf{u}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2})\mathbf{b}(x_{1}, x_{2})] dA + \int_{\partial A_{e}} [\mathbf{u}^{T}(x_{1}, x_{2})\mathbf{t}(x_{1}, x_{2})] dl$$
$$= \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \left[\int_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} [\mathbf{N}^{T} \mathbf{b}] \det(\mathbf{J}) d\boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} [\mathbf{N}^{T} \mathbf{t}] dl(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right]$$

 $= \mathbf{q}_e^T \mathbf{f}_e$ Element force vector: \mathbf{f}_e









FEM IN 2D: ISOPARAMETRIC 9-NODE QUAD ELEMENTS





Recall quadratic Lagrangian functions $L_i(\xi)$ in interval [-1,1]

$$x_j\left(\xi_1^I,\xi_2^I\right) = x_j^I$$

Shape functions are products: $N_I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = L_i(\xi_i) L_j(\xi_2)$

$$N_I(\xi_1^J, \xi_2^J) = \delta_{IJ}; \quad (I, J = 1, \dots 9)$$





$N_{4}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{1}(\xi_{1}) L_{3}(\xi_{2}) \qquad N_{7}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{2}(\xi_{1}) L_{3}(\xi_{2}) \qquad N_{3}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{3}(\xi_{1}) L_{3}(\xi_{2})$



 $N_{1}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{1}(\xi_{1}) L_{1}(\xi_{2}) \qquad N_{5}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{2}(\xi_{1}) L_{1}(\xi_{2}) \qquad N_{2}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) = L_{3}(\xi_{1}) L_{1}(\xi_{2})$

Disadvantage of 9-node quad elements: needless increase of bandwidth







Way to eliminate internal nodes: static condensation



Change element stiffness & force of boundary nodes by:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{k}^e_{ij} &
ightarrow \mathbf{k}^e_{ij} - \mathbf{k}^e_{i9} [\mathbf{k}^e_{99}]^{-1} \mathbf{k}^e_{9j} \ \mathbf{f}^e_i &
ightarrow \mathbf{f}^e_i - \mathbf{k}^e_{i9} [\mathbf{k}^e_{99}]^{-1} \mathbf{f}^e_9 \end{array}$$

K	G_{11}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{12}^G	\mathbf{K}_{13}^G	\mathbf{K}_{14}^G	\mathbf{K}_{15}^G	\mathbf{K}_{16}^G	\mathbf{K}_{17}^G	\mathbf{K}_{18}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{19}	$\left\lceil \mathbf{Q}_{1} ight ceil$		$\left[\mathbf{F}_{1}^{G}\right]$	
K	\mathbf{X}_{21}^G	\mathbf{K}_{22}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{23}^G	\mathbf{K}_{24}^G	\mathbf{K}_{25}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{26}^G	\mathbf{K}_{27}^G	\mathbf{K}_{28}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{29}	\mathbf{Q}_2		\mathbf{F}_2^G	
K	\mathbf{X}_{31}^G	\mathbf{K}_{32}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{33}^G	\mathbf{K}_{34}^G	\mathbf{K}_{35}^G	\mathbf{K}_{36}^G	\mathbf{K}_{37}^G	\mathbf{K}_{38}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{39}	\mathbf{Q}_3		\mathbf{F}_3^G	
K	${\bf X}_{41}^G$	\mathbf{K}^G_{42}	\mathbf{K}^G_{43}	\mathbf{K}_{44}^G	\mathbf{K}^G_{45}	\mathbf{K}_{46}^G	\mathbf{K}^G_{47}	\mathbf{K}^G_{48}	\mathbf{k}^e_{39}	\mathbf{Q}_4		\mathbf{F}_4^G	
K	\mathbf{X}_{51}^G	\mathbf{K}_{52}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{53}^G	\mathbf{K}_{54}^G	\mathbf{K}_{55}^G	\mathbf{K}_{56}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{57}^G	\mathbf{K}_{58}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{59}	\mathbf{Q}_5	=	\mathbf{F}_5^G	
K	\mathbf{X}_{61}^G	\mathbf{K}_{62}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{63}^G	\mathbf{K}_{64}^G	\mathbf{K}_{65}^G	\mathbf{K}_{66}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{67}^G	\mathbf{K}_{68}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{69}	\mathbf{Q}_{6}		\mathbf{F}_{6}^{G}	
K	${\bf X}_{71}^G$	\mathbf{K}_{72}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{73}^G	\mathbf{K}_{74}^G	\mathbf{K}_{75}^G	\mathbf{K}_{76}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{77}^{G}	\mathbf{K}_{78}^G	\mathbf{k}^e_{79}	\mathbf{Q}_7		\mathbf{F}_7^G	
K	C_{81}^{G}	\mathbf{K}^G_{82}	\mathbf{K}^G_{83}	\mathbf{K}^G_{84}	\mathbf{K}^G_{85}	\mathbf{K}^G_{86}	\mathbf{K}^G_{87}	\mathbf{K}^G_{88}	\mathbf{k}^e_{89}	\mathbf{Q}_8		\mathbf{F}_8^G	
k	e_{91}	\mathbf{k}^e_{92}	\mathbf{k}^e_{93}	\mathbf{k}_{94}^e	\mathbf{k}^e_{95}	\mathbf{k}^e_{96}	\mathbf{k}^{e}_{97}	\mathbf{k}^e_{98}	\mathbf{k}^{e}_{99}	\mathbf{Q}_{9}		$\left[\mathbf{f}_{9}^{e} ight]$	

Equilibrium equation for node 9 solved immediately











Shape functions of node I are products of line equations with remaining nodes

$$N_1(\xi_1,\xi_2) = -\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi_1)(1-\xi_2)(1+\xi_1+\xi_2), \ N_5(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi_1^2)(1-\xi_2)$$

$$N_2(\xi_1,\xi_2) = -\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi_1)(1-\xi_2)(1-\xi_1+\xi_2), \ N_6(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\xi_1)(1-\xi_2^2)$$

$$N_3(\xi_1,\xi_2) = -\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi_1)(1+\xi_2)(1-\xi_1-\xi_2), \ N_7(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi_1^2)(1+\xi_2)$$

$$N_4(\xi_1,\xi_2) = -\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi_1)(1+\xi_2)(1+\xi_1-\xi_2), \ N_8(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi_1)(1-\xi_2^2)$$





Shape functions of node I are products of equations avoiding that node



Triangular coordinates satisfy: $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 1$ $N_6 = 4 \xi_3 \xi_1$





NUMERICAL INTEGRATION IN 1D AND 2D



NUMERICAL INTEGRATION IN 1D ELEMENTS









Gaussian quadrature of 1, 2 and 3 points 1 point Gauss : $n_I = 1$; $\xi^1 = 0$ $w_1 = 2$; $R = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d^2 f}{d\xi^2}(\xi^*)$; $\xi^* \in (-1, 1)$ 2 point Gauss : $n_I = 2$; $\xi^1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \ \xi^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$

$$w_1 = w_2 = 1; \quad R = \frac{1}{135} \frac{d^4 f}{d\xi^4}(\xi^*)$$

3 point Gauss :
$$n_I = 3$$
; $\xi^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{5}}$, $\xi_2 = 0$, $\xi^3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{5}}$
 $w_1 = w_3 = \frac{5}{9}$, $w_2 = \frac{8}{9}$; $R = \frac{1}{15750} \frac{d^6 f}{d\xi^6}(\xi^*)$





General Gaussian quadrature of n_I points is $2n_I$ accurate

Gauss points : ξ^{I} are roots of Legendre polynomial $P_{n_{I}}(\xi^{I}) = 0$

weight :
$$w_I = \frac{2}{\left[(1 - (\xi^I)^2\right] \left[\frac{dP_{n_I}}{d\xi}(\xi^I)\right]^2}$$

remainder :
$$R = \frac{2^{(2n_I)}(n_I!)^4}{(2n_I+1)[(2n_I)!]^3} \frac{d^{(2n_I)}f}{d\xi^{(2n_I)}}(\xi^*)$$

$$n_{I}$$
 order Legendre : $P_{n_{I}}(\xi) \equiv \frac{1}{2^{n_{I}}(n_{I})!} \frac{d^{(n_{I})}}{d\xi^{(n_{I})}} (\xi^{2} - 1)^{n_{I}}$





General Gaussian quadrature in 2D uses master element

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \, d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = \sum_{I=1}^{I=n_I} \sum_{J=1}^{J=n_I} w_I w_J f(\xi_1^I, \xi_2^J)$$

 ξ_1



A 2×2 Gauss integration uses grid points with coordinates and weights taken from 1D

 $(\xi_1^{\ l},\xi_2^{\ l}) = (-1/\sqrt{3}, -1/\sqrt{3}) \quad (\xi_1^{\ l},\xi_2^{\ 2}) = (-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})$





ISOPARAMETRIC ELEMENTS IN 3D







Note: $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 = 1$, patch test automatically satisfied





Shape functions of node I are products of equations avoiding that node



Triangular coordinates satisfy: $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 1$ $N_6 = 4 \xi_3 \xi_1$





10-node isoparametric tetrahedron in 3D similarly to 6-node triangle in 2D



Shape function of a node is product of equations of planes that do not contain that node; e.g. $N_{10} = 4 \xi_3 \xi_4$

$$N_{1} = \xi_{1}(2\xi_{1} - 1)$$

$$N_{2} = \xi_{2}(2\xi_{2} - 1)$$

$$N_{3} = \xi_{3}(2\xi_{3} - 1)$$

$$N_{4} = \xi_{4}(2\xi_{4} - 1)$$

$$N_{5} = 4 \xi_{1}\xi_{2}$$

$$N_{6} = 4 \xi_{2}\xi_{3}$$

$$N_{7} = 4 \xi_{3}\xi_{1}$$

$$N_{8} = 4 \xi_{1}\xi_{4}$$

$$N_{9} = 4 \xi_{2}\xi_{4}$$

$$N_{10} = 4 \xi_{3}\xi_{4}$$

Shape functions satisfy: $\sum N_I(\xi) = 1$





8-node isoparametric hexadedron



Shape functions $N_I(\xi)$ (I = 1, ...8)

$$N_{I}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3}) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}(1+\xi_{1}^{I}\xi_{1})(1+\xi_{2}^{I}\xi_{2})(1+\xi_{3}^{I}\xi_{3})$$

Shape functions satisfy: $\sum N_I(\xi) = 1$



 w_1



isoparametric hexadedron

$$\int_{V(\boldsymbol{\xi})} f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\boldsymbol{\xi} \approx \sum_{I=1}^{n_I} \sum_{J=1}^{n_I} \sum_{K=1}^{n_I} w_I w_J w_K f(\xi_1^I, \xi_2^J, \xi_3^K)$$
ID Gaussian weights
isoparametric tetrahedron and points of [-1,1]

$$\int_{V(\boldsymbol{\xi})} f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4) d\boldsymbol{\xi} \approx \sum_{I=1}^{n_I} w_I f(\xi_1^I, \xi_2^I, \xi_3^I, \xi_4^I)$$

$$n_I = 1 - \operatorname{accuracy} O(h^2)$$

$$w_I = 1, \ \boldsymbol{\xi}^I = (1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4)$$
But define the second second

 $w_{2,3,4,5} = 9/20, \, \xi^2 = (1/2, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6), \text{ others by cyclic symmetry}$





PROBLEMS INVOLVING HIGHER ORDER GRADIENTS 1D (BERNOULLI-EULER-NAVIER) BEAM THEORY







BERNOULLI-EULER-NAVIER

- Uniaxial stress state (only σ_{II})
- Plane sections normal to centroidal line remain plane and normal to the deformed one
 - Small (infinitesimal) strain kinematics
- Linear elastic constitutive law (isotropic, can be generalized to transversely isotropic about centroidal line)





$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{V} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{11} \epsilon_{11} \right] \, dV = \int_{0}^{L} \left[\int_{A} \frac{1}{2} E(\epsilon_{11})^2 \, dA \right] \, dx$$

recall : $\epsilon_{11} = \frac{du_1}{dx_1}$, $u_1 = v(x) - y\frac{d}{dx}w(x)$; $(x \equiv x_1, y \equiv x_2)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_0^L \left[\int_A \frac{1}{2} E\left(\frac{dv}{dx} - y\frac{d^2w}{dx^2}\right)^2 dA \right] dx$$

recall : $\int_{A} \sec(x) dA = A$, $\int_{A} y dA = 0$, $\int_{A} y^{2} dA = I$ axial energy bending energy $\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{0}^{L} \left[\frac{1}{2} EA \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} EI \left(\frac{d^{2}w}{dx^{2}} \right)^{2} \right] dx$





Uniaxial stress state : $\sigma_{11}(x, y) = E \epsilon_{11}(x, y)$

Strain distribution : $\epsilon_{11}(x, y) = \epsilon(x) + y \kappa(x)$

Membrane strain :
$$\epsilon(x) = \frac{dv}{dx}$$

Curvature strain :
$$\kappa(x) = -\frac{d^2w}{dx^2}$$

Axial resultant :
$$N = \int_A \sigma_{11} dy = EA \epsilon(x)$$

Moment resultant :
$$M = \int_{A} [\sigma_{11} y] dy = EI \kappa(x)$$







The bending energy $- EI(d^2w/dx^2)^2$ term - dictates C^1 continuity (i.e. continuous dw/dx) of the test function w(x) in the entire beam. Consequently we must ensure inter-element continuity of both w(x) and dw/dx at each boundary node. The simplest element functions that do this are Hermitian cubics

$$H_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)^2(2+\xi)$$

$$H_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)^2(\xi+1)$$

$$H_3(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)^2(2-\xi)$$

 $H_4(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)^2(\xi-1)$





2D KIRCHHOFF PLATE THEORY





KIRCHHOFF PLATE THEORY:

- Plane stress state (only $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$)
- Normals to the undeformed middle plane remain normal to the deformed middle surface
- Small (infinitesimal) strain kinematics
- Linear elastic constitutive law (isotropic, can be generalized to transverseley isotropic about normal direction)
- Reduces to Bernoulli-Euler-Navier for loading that is independent on x_2 (or x_1)





DERIVATION OF 2D KIRCHHOFF PLATE BENDING THEORY



Plane stress state : $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, x_2, z) = L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta}(x_1, x_2, z)$; (Greek indexes : 1,2)

Plane stress moduli :
$$L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \left[\frac{1-\nu}{2} (\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\delta} + \delta_{\alpha\delta}\delta_{\beta\gamma}) + \nu\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma\delta} \right]$$

Strain distribution : $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, x_2, z) = E_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, x_2) + zK_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, x_2)$

Membrane strains :
$$E_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{\alpha,\beta} + v_{\beta,\alpha})$$
; $(f_{\alpha} \equiv \partial f / \partial x_{\alpha})$

Curvature strains : $K_{\alpha\beta} = -w, _{\alpha\beta}$

Membrane resultants : $N_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} dz = h L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} E_{\gamma\delta}$

Moment resultants : $M_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} z dz = \frac{h^3}{12} L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} K_{\gamma\delta}$

2D PLATE THEORY REDUCES TO BEAM FOR IN-PLANE BEND.



Uniaxial stress state : $\sigma_{11}(x_1, x_2) = E \epsilon_{11}(x_1, x_2);$

Strain distribution : $\epsilon_{11}(x_1, x_2) = \epsilon(x_1) + x_2 \kappa(x_1)$

Membrane strain :
$$\epsilon(x_1) = \frac{dv}{dx_1}$$

Curvature strain :
$$\kappa(x_1) = -\frac{d^2w}{d(x_1)^2}$$

Axial resultant :
$$N = \int_{A} \sigma_{11} dx_2 = EA \epsilon(x_1)$$

Moment resultant :
$$M = \int_A \sigma_{11} x_2 \, dx_2 = EI \kappa(x_1)$$

DERIVATION OF 2D KIRCHHOFF PLATE BENDING THEORY



Internal energy :
$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{A} \left[\frac{1}{2}N_{\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}M_{\alpha\beta}K_{\alpha\beta}\right]hdA$$

External energy:
$$\mathcal{P}_{ext} = -\int_{A} \left[p_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} + pw \right] dA - \int_{\partial A} \left[t_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} + qw + m(-w, n) \right] ds$$

Potential energy : $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext}$

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{A} [L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (E_{\alpha\beta}E_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{h^2}{12}K_{\alpha\beta}K_{\gamma\delta})h] dA - \int_{A} [p_{\alpha}u_{\alpha} + pw] dA - \int_{\partial A} [t_{\alpha}u_{\alpha} + qw + m(-w,n)] ds$$

KIRCHHOFF PLATE BENDING FOR TRANSVERSE LOADING



$$\mathcal{P} = \int_{A} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h^3}{12} L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} w_{,\alpha\beta} w_{,\gamma\delta} \right) - pw \right] dA$$

$$\delta \mathcal{P} = \int_{A} \left[\left(\frac{h^3}{12} L_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} w_{,\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - pw \right) \delta w \right] dA + \int_{\partial A} M_{nn} \delta w_{,n} \, ds = 0$$

E-L :
$$\frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}\nabla^4 w = p, \ \mathbf{x} \in A$$

E.B.C. : $w = 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial A$
N.B.C. : $w_{,11}(0, x_2) = w_{,11}(a_1, x_2) = w_{,22}(x_1, 0) = w_{,22}(x_1, a_2) = 0$

NOTE: Since second order derivatives of the transverse displacement enter the bending energy, need C^1 inter-element continuity!




The simplest element that can satisfy C^1 inter-element continuity of $w(x_1, x_2)$ is the Clough Triangle that has 21 degrees of freedom an a full fifth order polynomial shape function



Inter-element continuity of w(s) w^{3} , w^{3} , w

> Inter-element continuity of $w_{,n}(s)$ at each side: 4th order polynomial in s share the same 5 constants: $w_{,n}$, $w_{,nn}$ at each end node and $w_{,n}$ at the mid-side node





RELAXING HIGH ORDER INTERELEMENT CONTINUITY: TRANSVERSE SHEAR ENERGY AND ASSOCIATED PENALTY METHODS







TIMOSHENKO BEAM THEORY

- Axial plus shear stresses (σ_{11}, σ_{12})
- Plane sections normal to centroidal line remain plane and rotate by an angle θ
- Small (infinitesimal) strain kinematics
- Linear elastic constitutive law (isotropic, can be generalized to transverseley isotropic about centroidal line)





$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{V} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{11} \epsilon_{11} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{12} \gamma_{12} \right] dV$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_0^L \left[\int_A \frac{1}{2} E(\epsilon_{11})^2 + \frac{1}{2} G(\gamma_{12})^2 \, dA \right] \, dx$$

recall :
$$\epsilon_{11} = \frac{du_1}{dx_1} = \frac{dv}{dx} - y\frac{d\theta}{dx}$$

shear correction factor κ accounts for non-uniform shrear stress distribution

recall :
$$\gamma_{12} = \frac{du_1}{dx_2} + \frac{du_2}{dx_1} = -\theta + \frac{dw}{dx}$$
 bending energy shear energy
axial energy $\mathcal{P}_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \left[EA\left(\frac{dv}{dx}\right)^2 + EI\left(\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right)^2 + \kappa GA\left(\frac{dw}{dx} - \theta\right)^2 \right] dx$





$$w(\xi) = [N_1(\xi), 0, N_2(\xi), 0] \mathbf{q}_e = \mathbf{N}_w \mathbf{q}_e$$

$$\theta(\xi) = [0, N_1(\xi), 0, N_2(\xi)] \mathbf{q}_e = \mathbf{N}_\theta \mathbf{q}_e$$

NOTE: linear interpolation!

$$\mathbf{q}_e^T = [q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4] = [w_1, \theta_1, w_2, \theta_2]$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx}(\xi) = \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\mathbf{q}_{e} , \quad \frac{dw}{dx}(\xi) - \theta(\xi) = \mathbf{B}_{\gamma}\mathbf{q}_{e}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{int}^{e} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{e}^{T} \left[\int_{-1}^{+1} \left(EI \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{B}_{\theta} + \kappa GH \mathbf{B}_{\gamma}^{T} \mathbf{B}_{\gamma} \right) \frac{l_{e}}{2} d\xi \right] \mathbf{q}_{e}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{ext}^e = \int_{l_e} p \ w \ dx = \mathbf{q}_e^T \left[\int_{-1}^{+1} \mathbf{N}_w^T p \ \frac{l_e}{2} \ d\xi \right]$$





$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \mathbf{K}_{\gamma} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{F}; \quad \zeta \equiv \frac{b}{L} \ll 1 \text{ (aspect ratio)}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \zeta^2 \mathbf{K}_{\theta} + \mathbf{K}_{\gamma} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} = \zeta^2 \mathbf{F} \implies \mathbf{Q} = \zeta^2 \mathbf{K}_{\gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{F} + \dots$$
$$\det[\mathbf{K}_{\gamma}] \neq 0 \implies \text{ locking } (\mathbf{Q} \approx \mathbf{0})$$
$$\det[\mathbf{K}_{\gamma}] = 0 \implies \text{ WORKS}$$

 $det[\mathbf{K}_{\gamma}] = 0 \implies underintegration!$

NOTE: Each numerical integration point increases the rank of the stiffness matrix \mathbf{K}_{γ} by one (it corresponds to one constraint). We need less constraints than d.o.f. and hence we underintegrate to obtain a singular \mathbf{K}_{γ}

MINDLIN THEORY – ADDING TRANSVERSE SHEAR ENERGY



$$\mathcal{P}_{int}^{bend} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{A} \left[\frac{E}{1 - \nu^2} \frac{h^3}{12} K_{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{G\chi h\Gamma_{\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1 - \mu^2}} \right] dA$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right)$$
Transverse shear energy is added; this is the penalty term that enforces the slope-rotation relation

NOTE energy involves 1st order gradients of d.o.f.





FEM FOR DYNAMICS PROBLEMS





Lagrangian :
$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{P}$$

Kinetic :
$$\mathcal{K} = \int_{V} \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}_{i} \dot{u}_{i} \right] dV$$

Potential : $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext}$

Internal :
$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{V} W(\epsilon_{ij}) \, dV ; \quad \sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}}$$

External :
$$\mathcal{P}_{ext} = -\int_{V} b_{i} u_{i} \, dV - \int_{\partial V_{t}} t_{i} u_{i} \, dS$$

Hamilton :
$$\delta \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}) dt \right] = 0$$
; $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_1) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_2) = \mathbf{0}$





$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \int_V \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}_i \dot{u}_i - \frac{1}{2} L_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl} \epsilon_{ij} + b_i u_i \right] dV + \int_{\partial V_t} t_i u_i \, dS \right\} dt = 0$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \int_V \left[\rho \dot{u}_i \delta \dot{u}_i - \sigma_{ij} \delta \epsilon_{ij} + b_i \delta u_i \right] dV + \int_{\partial V_t} t_i \delta u_i \, dS \right\} dt = 0$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \int_V \left[-\rho \ddot{u}_i + (\sigma_{ij})_{,j} + b_i \right] \delta u_i \, dV + \int_{\partial V_t} \left[t_i - \sigma_{ij} n_j \right] \delta u_i \, dS \right\} dt = 0$$
Euler-Lagrange equation Natural boundary condition – pointwise

EQUATIONS OF MOTION – HAMILTON'S PRINCIPLE - FEM



Discretization :
$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{Q}(t)$$
, $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})\dot{\mathbf{Q}}(t)$
FEM degrees of freedom
Kinetic : $\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{Q}}^T \mathbf{M}\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$
FEM mass matrix
Potential : $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{K}\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}$
Lagrangian : $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{Q}}^T \mathbf{M}\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{K}\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}$
Hamilton : $\delta \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}, \dot{\mathbf{Q}}) dt \right] = 0$; $\mathbf{Q}(t_1) = \mathbf{Q}(t_2) = \mathbf{0}$
FEM equations of motion
Hamilton : $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\delta \mathbf{Q}^T (-\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{F}) \right] dt = 0$





FEM CALCULATIONS OF EIGENVALUES & MODES

EIGENVALUE PROBLEM USING RALEIGH QUOTIENTS - FEM





Page 85





INCREMENTAL NEWTON-RAPHSON FOR NONLINEAR PROBLEMS IN FEM





• The Newton-Raphson algorithm is used to solve a nonlinear set of equations $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$, where \mathbf{u} is the n-dimensional degree of freedom vector. Since our FEM system comes from a minimization principle, vetor \mathbf{f} is the derivative of the potential energy $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u})$, i.e. $\mathbf{f} = \partial \mathcal{P} / \partial \mathbf{u}$

• Method requires the construction of the tangent stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ (where $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u}) = \partial \mathbf{f}/\partial \mathbf{u} = \partial^2 \mathcal{P}/\partial \mathbf{u} \partial \mathbf{u}$) that has to be re-assembled at every step of the solution process

• Although this algorithm has a rapid convergence, it requires a good initial guess. If the initial guess is outside the domain of convergence, the algorithm fails. So a method to provide a reliable initial guess is needed





 $\mathcal{P}(u,\lambda)$: continuum energy at displ. $u(\mathbf{x}) \in U$, load $\lambda \ge 0$

 $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}, \lambda)$: discretized energy at displ. $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, load $\lambda \ge 0$

$$\mathbf{u} = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^n : \quad u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}), \ u_i : \text{ d.o.f, (FEM method)}$$

 $\mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u},\lambda) = \mathbf{0}: \quad \partial \mathcal{P}/\partial u_i = 0, \ i = 1 \dots n:$ equilibrium equations

Start at : $\lambda = 0$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$





Newton – Raphson : $\mathbf{0} = \mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}} \left(\mathbf{u} + \Delta \mathbf{u}, \lambda\right) \approx \mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}} \left(\mathbf{u}, \lambda\right) + \mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{uu}} \left(\mathbf{u}, \lambda\right) \Delta \mathbf{u}$

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(1)} - \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(0)} = -\left[\mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(0)},\lambda_{(i)}\right)\right]^{-1}\mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(0)},\lambda_{(i+1)}\right),$$

Stiffness matrix (needs
inversion at each step)
start at : $\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(0)} = \mathbf{u}_{(i)} \equiv \mathbf{u}(\lambda_{(i)}),$ where $\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j)}$: increment (i) iteration (j)
Load vector

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j+1)} - \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j)} = -\left[\mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j)},\lambda_{(i+1)}\right)\right]^{-1}\mathcal{P}_{,\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j)},\lambda_{(i+1)}\right),$$

end at :
$$\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j+1)} = \mathbf{u}_{(i+1)} \equiv \mathbf{u}(\lambda_{(i+1)}), \text{ when : } \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{(j)}, \lambda_{(i+1)})\| < \varepsilon$$







Superscript: iteration number

Equilibrium solution of continuum problem is amenable to solving a finite d.o.f. nonlinear problem through FEM discretization

For the Newton-Raphson method to work, a good initial displacement guess is needed to guarantee convergence (this is a fixed-point method with quadratic convergence)





- Although this algorithm has a rapid convergence, it requires a good initial guess. If the initial guess is outside the domain of convergence, the algorithm fails. So a method to provide a reliable initial guess is needed
- Physics come to the rescue. Loading can often be parametrized by a monotonically increasing positive scalar $\lambda \ge 0$ (termed load parameter) and the potential energy is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}, \lambda)$. Solution is $\mathbf{u}(\lambda)$
- For $\lambda = 0$ (unloaded configuration) $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{0}$, which is our starting point
- By increasing the load in small increments $\Delta \lambda = \lambda_{i+1} \lambda_i$ one can use the converged solution of the previous load step $\mathbf{u}(\lambda_i)$ as an accurate initial guess to calculate the solution for the current load step $\mathbf{u}(\lambda_{i+1})$. This is the incremental part of the algorithm that guarantees convergence if $\Delta \lambda$ is adequately small







Superscript: iteration number

Incremental method starting from zero load/displacement is needed to guarantee convergence of Newton-Raphson (works for small enough load steps)

Within each increment, we use the converged solution of the previous load step as an accurate initial guess to calculate the solution for the current load step





FINITE STRAIN ELASTICITY (2D)





Finite strain elasticity: $W(\mathbf{F}) = W(\mathbf{C})$, where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \cdot \mathbf{F}$ (right Cauchy-Green)

Isotropic case: $W(I_1, I_2)$ where are invariants of **C** $(I_1 = \text{tr } \mathbf{C}, I_2 = \text{det } \mathbf{C})$

Element force vector and stiffness matrices easily constructed by calculating the first and second derivatives of W with respect to **F**

ATTENTION: Nonlinear problems have **non-unique** solutions (**buckling**)

ATTENTION: Constitutive equations have to satisfy certain properties (**rank-one convexity**) otherwise discontinuous solutions are possible and FEM **results depend on the mesh** you use!

You cannot use nonlinear FEM problems without understanding your mechanics, otherwise you get nonsensical results



 \mathbf{n}

1)





$$\mathcal{P}(u, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{int} + \mathcal{P}_{ext},$$

Displacement : $u = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}) = (u_1(\mathbf{X}), u_2(\mathbf{X}))$
At reference configuration point : $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{int} = \int_{A} W(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X}) \, dA \,, \quad \mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{F}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{F}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{ext} = -\left[\int_{A} f_{i}(\lambda) u_{i} dA + \int_{\partial A} t_{i}(\lambda) u_{i} ds\right]$$

Elastic energy density/ ref. volume : $W(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X})$

Isotropic case : $W(I_1, I_2, \mathbf{X})$; $I_1 = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C}, I_2 = \mathbf{C}$





$$\begin{split} u_{i} &= \mathbf{N}_{i} \mathbf{q}_{e} , \quad u_{i,j} \equiv \partial u_{i} / \partial X_{j} = \mathbf{B}_{ij} \delta \mathbf{q}_{e} \\ \mathcal{P}_{,u}^{e} \delta u = \Bigg\{ \int_{A_{e}} \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial F_{ij}} \mathbf{B}_{ij} - f_{i} \mathbf{N}_{i} \right] dA - \int_{\partial A_{e}} [t_{i} \mathbf{N}_{i}] ds \Bigg\} \mathbf{q}_{e} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{,uu}^{e} \Delta u) \delta u &= (\Delta \mathbf{q}_{e})^{T} \Bigg\{ \int_{A_{e}} \left[\mathbf{B}_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} \mathbf{B}_{kl} \right] dA \Bigg\} \delta \mathbf{q}_{e} \\ FEM \text{ tangent stiffness matrix} \\ L_{ijkl}(\mathbf{F}) &\equiv \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} (\mathbf{F}) ; \quad \text{tangent moduli} \end{split}$$

NOTE: $W(\mathbf{F})$ not convex in $\mathbf{F} - A_{ij}L_{ijkl}$ (**F**) A_{kl} sign depends on **A** (arbitrary)





Finite strain elasticity: $W(\mathbf{F})$ cannot be convex in \mathbf{F} (otherwise all nonlinear elasticity problems would have had a unique solution)!

However $W(\mathbf{F})$ has to be rank-one convex (elliptic system of incremental equations) in order to avoid the appearance of discontinuous solutions)



definition : $\llbracket f \rrbracket \equiv f_b - f_a$

equilibrium : $\mathbf{N} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{\Pi} \rrbracket = 0$

kinematics : $\llbracket \mathbf{F} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0 \implies \llbracket \mathbf{F} \rrbracket = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{N}$

constitutive :
$$\dot{\mathbf{\Pi}} = \mathbf{L} : \dot{\mathbf{F}} \quad \left(\text{recall} : \mathbf{\Pi} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \right)$$

moduli : $\mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \mathbf{F} \partial \mathbf{F}}$ loss of ellipticity : $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{N}) : \mathbf{L} : (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{N}) = 0$



LMS

POWERFULL CODES PRODUCE NICE PICTURES, BUT

- 1. Need to know the code behind them!
- 2. You need to understand your model! Very frequently the code gives correct results but you are unable to interpret them...
- 3. In linear problems with constraints or higher order displacement gradients you must avoid locking phenomena (underintegrate)
- 4. In nonlinear problems solutions not unique! attention to buckling and localization phenomena (mesh-dependence)!
- 5. Goal of the class to help you understand mechanics and how we translate the mathematical model to a functioning algorithm



TWO IDEAS I WANT YOU TO REMEMBER:

- 1. Need to know the code behind FEM packages you use!
- 2. Understand your mechanics very well!

THANKS FOR TAKING THIS CLASS, ALWAYS AT YOUR DISPOSAL IF YOU ARE INETERESTED IN THIS MATERIAL!